

Patents

Application

Grant

Find prior art

Discuss this patent

View PDF

Download PDF



Generating a conceptual association graph from large-scale loosely-grouped content US 9110985 B2

ABSTRACT

A method for generating a conceptual association graph from structured content includes grouping content nodes into one or more topically biased clusters, the content nodes comprising structured digital content and unstructured digital content, the grouping based at least in part on the connectedness of each content node member to other content node members in the same cluster. The method also includes, responsive to the grouping, tagging the content nodes with one or more descriptive concepts. The method also includes, responsive to the tagging, establishing one or more associations between the one or more concepts, the one or more associations indicating a relevance of the one or more associations, the indicating based at least in part on patterns of co-occurrence of concepts in the tagged content nodes.

Publication number	US9110985 B2
Publication type	Grant
Application number	US 12/906,051
Publication date	Aug 18, 2015
Filing date	Oct 15, 2010
Priority date	May 10, 2005
Also published as	US20110113032
Inventors	Riccardo Boscolo , 4 More »
Original Assignee	Neetseer, Inc.
Export Citation	BiBTeX , EndNote , RefMan
	Patent Citations (162), Non-Patent Citations (149), Referenced by (1), Classifications (3), Legal Events (7)

External Links: [USPTO](#), [USPTO Assignment](#), [Espacenet](#)

IMAGES (8)

Patent Drawing Patent Drawing Patent Drawing Patent Drawing Patent Drawing Patent Drawing Patent Drawing Patent Drawing

DESCRIPTION

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a non-provisional of and claims priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/252,632, filed Oct. 16, 2009 and entitled "Generating A Conceptual Association Graph From Structured Content", the entirety of which is hereby incorporated by reference.

This application is also related to commonly assigned U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/125,329, filed May 10, 2005 and entitled "Method and Apparatus for Distributed Community Finding", the entirety of which is hereby incorporated by reference.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

Embodiments of the present invention relate to a system for the extraction of relevant conceptual associations from "groupings" of content. A conceptual association is defined as the connection or link relating concepts, keywords, or abstract ideas. The term "relevant" refers to the fact that such associations are commonly accepted as meaningful according to some criterion. For example, one measure of the relevance of associations among concepts is how frequently they are used in the same paragraph, within the same document, or in the same context. Finally, a "grouping" of content is defined as a collection of content nodes that are related to each other in some functional fashion, thus resulting in a topical bias. Groupings typically originate from relationships that exist between content nodes, either at a semantic level, or because links between them are explicitly defined. For example, a grouping strategy could be defined that associates Web pages that have common terms in the anchor text of hyperlinks pointing to them, e.g. the group of all pages for which the term "diabetes" appears in one or more of the in-link anchors. Often metadata

CLAIMS (22)

What is claimed is:

1. A computer implemented method comprising:

grouping content nodes into one or more topically biased clusters, the content nodes comprising structured digital content and unstructured digital content, the grouping based at least in part on the connectedness of each content node member to other content node members in the same cluster;

tagging the grouped content nodes in each of the one or more topically biased clusters with one or more concepts after grouping the content nodes into one or more topically biased clusters, wherein tagging the content nodes comprises:

analyzing content of the content nodes in each group and extracting a plurality of collective token and potential concept statistics for the grouped content nodes in one of the one or more topically biased clusters;

scoring and filtering the statistics based on a measure of relevance;

generating a view of the content nodes within the topically biased cluster by aggregating the scored and filtered statistics; and

selecting one or more descriptive concepts and keywords for each content node in the topically biased cluster based on the generated view, wherein the one or more concepts comprise the one or more descriptive concepts and keywords;

finding and scoring one or more conceptual association based on the tagged, grouped content nodes and patterns of co-occurrence of the one or

Try the new Google Patents, with machine-classified Google Scholar results, and Japanese and South Korean patents.

invention U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/125,329, filed May 10, 2005 and entitled "Method and Apparatus for Distributed Community Finding", where link structure among the content can be used to group content nodes into communities or groups. In a given set, comprising billions of content, there could be millions of such implied groupings and each content may belong to multiple such groupings.

BACKGROUND

Entity association maps are conventionally generated either manually based on existing taxonomies and encyclopedias or via a hybrid approach where pre-built taxonomies are enriched, either manually or through supervised aggregation. Examples of the former approach are Wikipedia, DMOZ's Open Directory Project or Google's Knol. These taxonomies rely on a substantial collaborative effort in order to span a significant number of topics with enough depth. Therefore, approaches of the second type have been introduced in order to either reduce the cost of building such taxonomies, or to expand their coverage.

In general, given the pace at which information is currently generated, it has become increasingly challenging to create and maintain manually created taxonomies. This is especially true on the World Wide Web, where not only the number of pages online has been increasing at a very rapid pace, but also the percentage of pages with content that quickly varies over time (e.g. news, blogs, personal pages within social networks) started assuming a predominant role. At the same time, the importance of correctly categorizing and organizing, not simply the content of such pages, but the information they contain has reached an unprecedented commercial value.

SUMMARY

Embodiments of the present invention are directed at methods and systems that substantially obviate one or more of the above and other problems associated with conventional techniques for creating conceptual association maps (or taxonomies) and scaling them to a very large body of content, like the pages on the World Wide Web.

One aspect of the invention is a method for clustering structured and unstructured content into topically focused groups of documents and extracting relevant concepts from such content nodes. Another aspect of the invention is a method for determining the relevance of conceptual associations from various patterns of co-occurrence of conceptual entities across different content nodes.

Embodiments of the present invention are capable of extracting and evaluating what are the concepts (i.e., basic informational units) in the given set of content, in an unsupervised fashion, without the limitations typically imposed by manual or partially supervised approaches.

Embodiments of the present invention are simultaneously capable of extracting and evaluating the relevance of the associations among the concepts derived by the invention on a very large scale, in an unsupervised fashion, without the limitations typically imposed by manual or partially supervised approaches.

According to one embodiment of the present invention, a computerized system for extracting a conceptual association map on a large scale from structured and unstructured content and for scoring such associations based on their relevance is provided.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The accompanying drawings, which are incorporated into and constitute a part of this specification, illustrate one or more embodiments of the present invention and, together with the detailed description, serve to explain the principles and implementations of the invention.

more concepts in the tagged content nodes, the one or more conceptual associations indicating a relevance of the one or more associations; and generating a conceptual association graph across the topically-biased clusters based on the one or more associations between the one or more concepts.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein the connectedness of each content node to other content node members is defined by links between each content node and the other content node members.
3. The method of claim 2, wherein the links are generated from metadata entities.
4. The method of claim 3, wherein a content node is connected to other content nodes if the content node and the other content nodes are tagged with the same metadata entity.
5. The method of claim 3, wherein the metadata entity comprises at least one of category tagging and descriptive keywords.
6. The method of claim 2, wherein the links are pre-processed and tagged.
7. The method of claim 6, wherein pre-processing the links comprises classifying the links based on type and assigning the links weights.
8. The method of claim 1, wherein the nodes are grouped using a community finding algorithm.
9. The method of claim 1, wherein concepts are n-grams, and wherein the n-grams comprise one or more a string of n consecutive words, phonemes or other atomic tokens in the content node.
10. The method of claim 1, wherein the measure of relevance of a concept in a group is determined by a number of statistics, including weighted token frequency, community document frequency and global document frequency.
11. The method of claim 1, wherein the most important concepts in a group are selected to tag the group.
12. The method of claim 11, wherein the most important concepts are the concepts with scores above a certain threshold.
13. The method of claim 1, wherein establishing the one or more associations between the one or more concepts comprises:
 - pre-processing the tagged content nodes by merging tags for the same content node; and
 - scoring the one or more associations based on a pattern of appearance of concept pairs within the content nodes.
14. The method of claim 13, further comprising weighing the score by a probability that a content node should be tagged with a concept.
15. The method of claim 13, further comprising weighing the score through a global measure of relevance of the content nodes that are tagged with a concept.
16. The method of claim 13, wherein the score is determined by the positional information of an extracted concept within a concept node.
17. A computer system comprising:

FIG. 1 is a schematic block diagram of a method and architecture and various components of the system according to one embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 2 is a schematic block diagram of one of the possible embodiments of the content nodes grouping module.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram that illustrates a concept extraction/content tagging module in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 4 is a block diagram that illustrates content node tagging when no contextual or group bias is available in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 5 is a block diagram that illustrates content node tagging when the semantic bias resulting from the content node grouping is exploited in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 6 is a block diagram that illustrates a concept map generation and scoring module in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 7 is a block diagram of a computer system suitable for implementing aspects of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Embodiments of the present invention are described herein in the context of generating a conceptual association graph from structured content. Those of ordinary skill in the art will realize that the following detailed description of the present invention is illustrative only and is not intended to be in any way limiting. Other embodiments of the present invention will readily suggest themselves to such skilled persons having the benefit of this disclosure. Reference will now be made in detail to implementations of the present invention as illustrated in the accompanying drawings. The same reference indicators will be used throughout the drawings and the following detailed description to refer to the same or like parts.

According to one embodiment of the present invention, three separate modules are provided as shown in FIG. 1:

- a. a content grouping module (**102**), which exploits the structure or metadata information of the data to group content nodes into topically biased clusters;
- b. a concept extraction module (**103**) that, based on the content grouping, tags content with descriptive concepts and keywords; and
- c. a conceptual map builder (**104**) that establishes meaningful associations between extracted concepts as well as the relevance of such associations.

The first module, the content grouping module (**102**), groups content nodes based on their patterns of association. According to one embodiment of the present invention, the system generates groups whose members are densely connected with other members of the same cluster, while being sparsely (or loosely) connected with content nodes that are not part of the cluster. The definition of "link" or "connection" between two content nodes is specific to each of the embodiments of this invention, but it is not limited to any of the types described in such embodiments.

Community finding algorithms are discussed in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/125,329, entitled "Method and Apparatus for Distributed Community Finding" the entirety of which is hereby incorporated by reference; and "Finding and evaluating community structure in networks," M. E. J. Newman and M. Girvan, Phys. Rev. E 69, 026113 (2004). According to one embodiment of the present invention, links between content nodes are "hyperlink style" connections

a processor coupled to the memory, the processor comprising:

a content grouping module configured to group content nodes into one or more topically biased clusters, the content nodes comprising structured digital content and unstructured digital content, the grouping based at least in part on the connectedness of each content node member to other content node members in the same cluster;

a concept extraction module configured to tag the content nodes in each of the one or more topically biased clusters with one or more concepts after the content nodes are grouped into one or more topically biased clusters, wherein the concept extraction module is configured to tag the content nodes by analyzing content of the content nodes in each group and extracting a plurality of collective token and potential concept statistics for the grouped content nodes in one of the one or more topically biased clusters, scoring and filtering the statistics based on a measure of relevance, generating a view of the content nodes within the topically biased cluster by aggregating the scored and filtered statistics, and selecting one or more descriptive concepts and keywords for each content node in the topically biased cluster based on the generated view, wherein the one or more concepts comprise the one or more descriptive concepts and keywords; and

a conceptual map builder configured to find and score one or more conceptual associations based on the tagged, grouped content nodes and based on patterns of co-occurrence of the one or more concepts in the tagged content nodes, the one or more conceptual associations indicating a relevance of the one or more associations, and wherein the conceptual map builder is further configured to generate a conceptual association graph across the topically biased clusters based on the one or more associations between the one or more concepts.

18. The computer system of claim 17 wherein the concept extraction module comprises a text tokenizer to analyze the content of the nodes in each group and extract several collective token statistics.

19. The computer system of claim 17 wherein the conceptual map builder comprises a proximity engine to score concept associations based on a pattern of appearance of concept pairs within content nodes.

20. A non-transitory computer-readable media having computer executable instructions stored thereon which cause a computer system to carry out a method when executed, the method comprising

grouping content nodes into one or more topically biased clusters, the content nodes comprising structured digital content and unstructured digital content, the grouping based at least in part on the connectedness of each content node member to other content node members in the same cluster;

tagging the grouped content nodes in each of the one or more topically biased clusters with one or more concepts after grouping the content nodes into one or more topically biased clusters, wherein tagging the content nodes comprises:

analyzing content of the content nodes in each group and extracting a plurality of collective token and potential concept statistics for the grouped content nodes in one of the one or more topically biased clusters;

scoring and filtering the statistics based on a measure of relevance;

content) of a referred-to page.

According to another embodiment of the present invention, connections are "citation-style" links between a content node and a collection of content nodes that are cited as pertinent to the referrer node. Examples of content nodes associated by links of this type are scientific publications, encyclopedias and intellectual property publications (e.g., patents and patent applications).

According to another embodiment of the present invention, links between content nodes are generated from metadata entities, e.g. category tagging, descriptive keywords (as found in web pages as well as scientific articles), by grouping together all the nodes that are tagged with the same keyword or category.

FIG. 2 shows the main components of the content grouping module (102) according to one embodiment of the present invention. At 202, the collection of links between all content nodes is pre-processed and tagged: this step classifies the links based on their type and assigns them weights. According to one embodiment of the present invention, hyperlinks are categorized as internet links (links between pages in different domains), intranet links (links between pages within the same domain) where they can in turn be sub-classified as navigational links (links used on the page to navigate the website sequentially, e.g. "Previous" or "Next") and informational links (which typically link to related pages within the domain). At 203, links are clustered using a community finding algorithm, whose goal is to group together content nodes that are densely connected to each other (intra-connectivity) and sparsely connected to nodes belonging to other groups (inter-connectivity). The groupings generated in this step are generally overlapping, i.e. one content node can belong to more than one grouping, and can be nested. See, e.g., U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/125,329, entitled "Method and Apparatus for Distributed Community Finding," the entirety of which is hereby incorporated by reference. See also, "Finding and evaluating community structure in networks," M. E. J. Newman and M. Girvan, Phys. Rev. E 69, 026113 (2004).

According to another embodiment of the present invention, the grouping of content nodes is based on anchor text of hyperlinks found in web pages. Pages with common relevant terms in the anchor text of links pointing to them (in-links) are grouped together.

The second module is a concept extractor module (103) whose function is to tag content nodes with descriptive concepts and keywords. The concept extractor module (103) takes advantage of the statistical bias introduced by the topically focused clustering to better target the concept selection.

FIG. 3 shows the schematic details of the components in the concept extractor module (103). A text tokenizer (0302) analyzes the content of the nodes (0301) in each group and extracts several collective token statistics. An n-gram is a subsequence of n items from a given sequence. The items in question can be phonemes, syllables, letters, words or base pairs according to the application. A token of text is defined as any words n-gram appearing in one or more of the content nodes. Such statistics are then scored and filtered (0303) based on a measure of relevance that takes into account the frequency count of tokens weighed by the relevance of the content nodes (weighted token frequency), the count of the number of pages in which a certain token appears within a group (community document frequency) or within the entire corpus of content nodes (global document frequency).

The statistics extracted from each cluster provide a "view" of the content nodes within a certain grouping. The membership of each content node to a specific grouping is not exclusive, meaning that the same node can be associated to many groups, each providing a different view of the same content. According to one embodiment of the present invention, different content nodes in a grouping might be assigned different weights so a view is generated by aggregating weighted statistics of such nodes. An example of a weighting mechanism is the use of global or topical pagerank of web pages.

Given a specific "view" for a content node, a tagger sub-module (0304) selects appropriate descriptive concepts and keywords for that node (0305). In this step, the topical focus spawning from the grouping stage aids in the process of selecting meaningful conceptual tags for each content node. Conceptual tags can have weights according to score of each n-gram in the view and statistics of that tag on the content node.

FIG. 4 shows the main components of the conceptual association graph generation module (104) according to one embodiment of the present invention. At 402, a view of the content nodes within the topically biased cluster is generated by aggregating the scored and filtered statistics; and

generating a view of the content nodes within the topically biased cluster by aggregating the scored and filtered statistics; and

selecting one or more descriptive concepts and keywords for each content node in the topically biased cluster based on the generated view, wherein the one or more concepts comprise the one or more descriptive concepts and key words;

finding and scoring one or more conceptual associations based on the tagged, grouped content nodes and based on patterns of co-occurrence of the one or more concepts in the tagged content nodes, the one or more conceptual associations indicating a relevance of the one or more associations; and

generating a conceptual association graph across the topically biased clusters based on the one or more associations between the one or more concepts.

21. The computer readable media of claim 20 wherein the nodes are grouped using a community finding algorithm.

22. The computer readable media of claim 20 wherein establishing the one or more associations between the one or more concepts comprises:

pre-processing the tagged content nodes by merging tags for the same content node; and

scoring the one or more associations based on a pattern of appearance of concept pairs within the content nodes.

through a grouping that clusters pages related to diabetes and associated health conditions. The effect of the content node clustering is to “bias” the selection of concepts towards the ones that are more relevant to the topical domain defined by the grouping.

According to one embodiment of the present invention, the last module, the conceptual map builder (**104**), comprises a system for finding and scoring conceptual associations based on patterns of co-occurrence of concepts in tagged content nodes, and is illustrated in FIG. 6. The conceptual map builder (**104**) takes the concept tagged content nodes as an input (**601**) and generates the final concept association map (**0604**). The first step in the construction of the concept association map consists of a pre-processing of the concept tagging results through merging of the tags for the same content node, in those cases where the node is assigned to multiple groups (**0602**). The next step is represented by a co-occurrence/proximity engine (**0603**) that scores concept associations based on the pattern of appearance of concept pairs within content nodes.

According to one embodiment of the present invention, the pre-processing module (**0602**) also normalizes the contribution of content nodes generated from the same “source,” in order to prevent certain sources from becoming dominant in the definition of a conceptual association. A “source” is generically defined as the entity that provided the content nodes. For example, when content nodes are pages from the World Wide Web, the source is the domain that hosts the web pages.

According to one embodiment of the present invention, the score of a link between two concepts c_1 and c_2 is given by the probability that a content node is tagged with concept c_2 given that it has been tagged with concept c_1 , estimated over all the content nodes tagged with concept c_2 .

According to another embodiment of the present invention, the score of a conceptual association is defined as described above but it also weighed by the probability that a given content node should be tagged with a given concept. In other words, this embodiment covers the case where a probability is assigned to the event that a content node is tagged with a certain concept.

According to another embodiment of the present invention, the computation of the association score between two concepts is weighed through a global measure of relevance of the content nodes that are tagged with such concepts. For example, when content nodes are from the World Wide Web, pages that are found to be more relevant according to some criterion (e.g. page rank) play a greater role in the weight definition than pages with lower relevance.

According to another embodiment of the present invention, the association scores are also determined by the positional information of an extracted concept within a concept node. In this version, both the absolute position of a concept within the content node, as well the relative position of two associated concepts in the text play a role in the definition of the association score. For example, concepts appearing in certain sections of the content node that are deemed more relevant (e.g. title, abstract or description) are assigned a larger weight. At the same time, associations between concepts that co-occur within a certain window of words can be scored higher due to their proximity.

According to another embodiment of the present invention, the concept associations found through the method are fed back to the concept extraction module, in order to improve the tagging of each concept node (reference numeral **106** of FIG. 1). In this embodiment, concepts that have been identified as being closely associated in the conceptual map are given a slight preference in the selection over those concepts that have been found to be unrelated. This additional step enforces knowledge on concept associations that was collected over a large corpus to make a more accurate decision on what concepts each content node should be tagged with.

According to another embodiment of the present invention, syntactic or part of speech tagging of tokens in each content node is used as additional tagging of the previously extracted conceptual associations.

FIG. 7 is a block diagram of a computer system **700** suitable for implementing aspects of the present invention. The system **700** includes a computer/server platform **701**, peripheral devices **702** and network resources **703**.

The computer platform **701** may include a data bus **704** or other communication mechanism for communicating information across and among various parts of the computer platform **701**, and a processor **705** coupled with bus **701** for processing information and performing other computational and control tasks. Computer platform **701** also includes a volatile storage **706**, such as a random access memory (RAM) or other dynamic storage device, coupled to bus **704** for storing various information as well as instructions to be executed by processor **705**. The volatile storage **706** also may be used for storing temporary variables or other intermediate information during execution of instructions by processor **705**. Computer platform **701** may further include a read only memory (ROM or EPROM) **707** or other static storage device coupled to bus **704** for storing static information and instructions for processor **705**, such as basic input-output system (BIOS), as well as various system configuration parameters. A persistent storage device **708**, such as a magnetic disk, optical disk, or solid-state flash memory device is provided and coupled to bus **901** for storing information and instructions.

Try the new Google Patents, with machine-classified Google Scholar results, and Japanese and South Korean patents.

Computer platform **701** may be coupled via bus **704** to a display **709**, such as a cathode ray tube (CRT), plasma display, or a liquid crystal display (LCD), for displaying information to a system administrator or user of the computer platform **701**. An input device **710**, including alphanumeric and other keys, is coupled to bus **701** for communicating information and command selections to processor **705**. Another type of user input device is cursor control device **711**, such as a mouse, a trackball, or cursor direction keys for communicating direction information and command selections to processor **704** and for controlling cursor movement on display **709**.

An external storage device **712** may be connected to the computer platform **701** via bus **704** to provide an extra or removable storage capacity for the computer platform **701**. In an embodiment of the computer system **700**, the external removable storage device **712** may be used to facilitate exchange of data with other computer systems.

Embodiments of the present invention are related to the use of computer system **700** for implementing the techniques described herein. According to one embodiment of the present invention, the system may reside on a machine such as computer platform **701**. According to one embodiment of the present invention, the techniques described herein are performed by computer system **700** in response to processor **705** executing one or more sequences of one or more instructions contained in the volatile memory **706**. Such instructions may be read into volatile memory **706** from another computer-readable medium, such as persistent storage device **708**. Execution of the sequences of instructions contained in the volatile memory **706** causes processor **705** to perform the process steps described herein. In alternative embodiments, hard-wired circuitry may be used in place of or in combination with software instructions to implement embodiment of the present invention. Thus, embodiments of the present invention are not limited to any specific combination of hardware circuitry and software.

It should be noted that embodiments of the present invention are illustrated and discussed herein as having various modules which perform particular functions and interact with one another. It should be understood that these modules are merely segregated based on their function for the sake of description and represent computer hardware and/or executable software code which is stored on a computer-readable medium for execution on appropriate computing hardware. The various functions of the different modules and units can be combined or segregated as hardware and/or software stored on a computer-readable medium as above as modules in any manner, and can be used separately or in combination.

The term "computer-readable medium" as used herein refers to any medium that participates in providing instructions to processor **705** for execution. The computer-readable medium is just one example of a machine-readable medium, which may carry instructions for implementing any of the methods and/or techniques described herein. Such a medium may take many forms, including but not limited to, non-volatile media, volatile media, and transmission media. Non-volatile media includes, for example, optical or magnetic disks, such as storage device **708**. Volatile media includes dynamic memory, such as volatile storage **706**. Transmission media includes coaxial cables, copper wire and fiber optics, including the wires that comprise data bus **704**. Transmission media can also take the form of acoustic or light waves, such as those generated during radio-wave and infra-red data communications.

Common forms of computer-readable media include, for example, a floppy disk, a flexible disk, hard disk, magnetic tape, or any other magnetic medium, a CD-ROM, any other optical medium, punchcards, papertape, any other physical medium with patterns of holes, a RAM, a PROM, an EPROM, a FLASH-EPROM, a flash drive, a memory card, any other memory chip or cartridge, a carrier wave as described hereinafter, or any other medium from which a computer can read.

Various forms of computer readable media may be involved in carrying one or more sequences of one or more instructions to processor **705** for execution. For example, the instructions may initially be carried on a magnetic disk from a remote computer. Alternatively, a remote computer can load the instructions into its dynamic memory and send the instructions over a telephone line using a modem. A modem local to computer system **700** can receive the data on the telephone line and use an infrared transmitter to convert the data to an infra-red signal. An infra-red detector can receive the data carried in the infra-red signal and appropriate circuitry can place the data on the data bus **704**. The bus **704** carries the data to the volatile storage **706**, from which processor **705** retrieves and executes the instructions. The instructions received by the volatile memory **706** may optionally be stored on persistent storage device **708** either before or after execution by processor **705**. The instructions may also be downloaded into the computer platform **701** via Internet using a variety of network data communication protocols well known in the art.

The computer platform **701** also includes a communication interface, such as network interface card **713** coupled to the data bus **704**. Communication interface **713** provides a two-way data communication coupling to a network link **714** that is connected to a local network **715**. For example, communication interface **713** may be an integrated services digital network (ISDN) card or a modem to provide a data communication connection to a corresponding type of telephone line. As another example, communication interface **713** may be a local area network interface card (LAN NIC) to provide a data communication connection to a compatible LAN. Wireless links, such as well-known 802.11 a, 802.11 b, 802.11 g and Bluetooth may also be used for network implementation. In any such implementation, communication interface **713** sends and receives electrical electromagnetic or optical signals that carry digital data streams representing various types of

Try the new Google Patents, with machine-classified Google Scholar results, and Japanese and South Korean patents.

Network link **713** provides data communication through one or more networks to other network resources. For example, network link **714** may provide a connection through local network **715** to a host computer **716**, or a network storage/server **717**. Additionally or alternatively, the network link **713** may connect through gateway/firewall **717** to the wide-area or global network **718**, such as an Internet. Thus, the computer platform **701** can access network resources located anywhere on the Internet **718**, such as a remote network storage/server **719**. On the other hand, the computer platform **701** may also be accessed by clients located anywhere on the local area network **715** and/or the Internet **718**. The network clients **720** and **721** may themselves be implemented based on the computer platform similar to the platform **701**.

Local network **715** and the Internet **718** both use electrical, electromagnetic or optical signals that carry digital data streams. The signals through the various networks and the signals on network link **714** and through communication interface **713**, which carry the digital data to and from computer platform **701**, are exemplary forms of carrier waves transporting the information.

Computer platform **701** can send messages and receive data, including program code, through the variety of network(s) including Internet **718** and LAN **715**, network link **714** and communication interface **713**. In the Internet example, when the system **701** acts as a network server, it might transmit a requested code or data for an application program running on client(s) **720** and/or **721** through Internet **718**, gateway/firewall **717**, local area network **715** and communication interface **713**. Similarly, it may receive code from other network resources.

The received code may be executed by processor **705** as it is received, and/or stored in persistent or volatile storage devices **708** and **706**, respectively, or other non-volatile storage for later execution. In this manner, computer system **701** may obtain application code in the form of a carrier wave.

Finally, it should be understood that processes and techniques described herein are not inherently related to any particular apparatus and may be implemented by any suitable combination of components. Further, various types of general purpose devices may be used in accordance with the teachings described herein. It may also prove advantageous to construct specialized apparatus to perform the method steps described herein. The present invention has been described in relation to particular examples, which are intended in all respects to be illustrative rather than restrictive. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that many different combinations of hardware, software, and firmware will be suitable for practicing the present invention. For example, the described software may be implemented in a wide variety of programming or scripting languages, such as Assembler, C/C++, perl, shell, PHP, Java, etc.

Moreover, other implementations of the present invention will be apparent to those skilled in the art from consideration of the specification and practice of the present invention disclosed herein. Various aspects and/or components of the described embodiments may be used singly or in any combination in the online behavioral targeting system. It is intended that the specification and examples be considered as exemplary only, with a true scope and spirit of the present invention being indicated by the following claims.

In the interest of clarity, not all of the routine features of the implementations described herein are shown and described. It will, of course, be appreciated that in the development of any such actual implementation, numerous implementation-specific decisions must be made in order to achieve the developer's specific goals, such as compliance with application- and business-related constraints, and that these specific goals will vary from one implementation to another and from one developer to another. Moreover, it will be appreciated that such a development effort might be complex and time-consuming, but would nevertheless be a routine undertaking of engineering for those of ordinary skill in the art having the benefit of this disclosure.

According to one embodiment of the present invention, the components, process steps, and/or data structures may be implemented using various types of operating systems (OS), computing platforms, firmware, computer programs, computer languages, and/or general-purpose machines. The method can be run as a programmed process running on processing circuitry. The processing circuitry can take the form of numerous combinations of processors and operating systems, connections and networks, data stores, or a stand-alone device. The process can be implemented as instructions executed by such hardware, hardware alone, or any combination thereof. The software may be stored on a program storage device readable by a machine.

While embodiments and applications of this invention have been shown and described, it would be apparent to those skilled in the art having the benefit of this disclosure that many more modifications than mentioned above are possible without departing from the inventive concepts herein. The invention, therefore, is not to be restricted except in the spirit of the appended claims.

PATENT CITATIONS

Cited Patent	Filing date	Publication date	Applicant	Title
--------------	-------------	------------------	-----------	-------

Try the new Google Patents, with machine-classified Google Scholar results, and Japanese and South Korean patents.

US5581764	May 2, 1994	Dec 3, 1996	Novadigm, Inc.	Distributed computer network including hierarchical resource information structure and related method of distributing resources
US5721910	Jun 4, 1996	Feb 24, 1998	Exxon Research And Engineering Company	Relational database system containing a multidimensional hierachical model of interrelated subject categories with recognition capabilities
US5956708	Mar 6, 1997	Sep 21, 1999	International Business Machines Corporation	Integration of link generation, cross-author user navigation, and reuse identification in authoring process
US6038560	May 21, 1997	Mar 14, 2000	Oracle Corporation	Concept knowledge base search and retrieval system
US6098064	May 22, 1998	Aug 1, 2000	Xerox Corporation	Prefetching and caching documents according to probability ranked need S list
US6233575	Jun 23, 1998	May 15, 2001	International Business Machines Corporation	Multilevel taxonomy based on features derived from training documents classification using fisher values as discrimination values
US6242273	Sep 29, 1999	Jun 5, 2001	Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.	Fractal filter applied to a contamination-free manufacturing signal to improve signal-to-noise ratios
US6339767	Aug 29, 1997	Jan 15, 2002	Aurigin Systems, Inc.	Using hyperbolic trees to visualize data generated by patent-centric and group-oriented data processing
US6397682	Feb 9, 2001	Jun 4, 2002	The United States Of America As Represented By The Department Of Energy	Intergranular degradation assessment via random grain boundary network analysis
US6446061	Jun 30, 1999	Sep 3, 2002	International Business Machines Corporation	Taxonomy generation for document collections
US6544357	Jul 19, 1995	Apr 8, 2003	Franz Hehmann	Selected processing for non-equilibrium light alloys and products
US6549896	Nov 9, 2000	Apr 15, 2003	Nec Usa, Inc.	System and method employing random walks for mining web page associations and usage to optimize user-oriented web page refresh and pre-fetch scheduling
US6665837	Aug 10, 1998	Dec 16, 2003	Overture Services, Inc.	Method for identifying related pages in a hyperlinked database
US6816884	Jan 27, 2000	Nov 9, 2004	Colin T. Summers	System and method for creating conversationally-styled summaries from digesting email messages
US6826553	Nov 16, 2000	Nov 30, 2004	Knowmadic, Inc.	System for providing database functions for multiple internet sources
US6886129	Nov 24, 1999	Apr 26, 2005	International Business Machines Corporation	Method and system for trawling the World-wide Web to identify implicitly-defined communities of web pages
US7031308	Oct 30, 2001	Apr 18, 2006	The Regents Of The University Of California	Tree-based ordered multicasting method
US7051023	Nov 12, 2003	May 23, 2006	Yahoo! Inc.	Systems and methods for generating concept units from search queries
US7092953	Dec 28, 2001	Aug 15, 2006	Rightsline, Inc.	Apparatus and methods for intellectual property database navigation
US7181438	May 30, 2000	Feb 20, 2007	Alberti Anemometer, Llc	Database access system
US7269253	Mar 23, 2006	Sep 11, 2007	Wai Wu	Telephony control system with intelligent call routing
US7483711	Oct 22, 2003	Jan 27, 2009	Bbn Technologies Corp	Spectrum-adaptive networking
US7590589	Dec 6, 2004	Sep 15, 2009	Hoffberg Steven M	Game theoretic prioritization scheme for mobile ad hoc networks permitting hierarchal deference
US7613851	May 28, 2008	Nov 3, 2009	International Business Machines Corporation	Apparatus, method, and product of manufacture for transforming supply chain networks using pair-wise nodal analysis
US7660855	Sep 24, 2003	Feb 9, 2010	International Business Machines Corporation	Using a prediction algorithm on the addressee field in electronic mail systems
US7680796	Sep 3, 2003	Mar 16, 2010	Google, Inc.	Determining and/or using location information in an ad system
US7689493	Nov 10, 2006	Mar 30, 2010	Merrill Lynch Co., Inc.	Methods for asset index tracking
US7716060	Feb 23, 2001	May 11, 2010	Germeraad Paul B	Patent-related tools and methodology for use in the merger and acquisition process
US7725467	Mar 27, 2003	May 25, 2010	Sony Corporation	Information search system, information processing apparatus and method, and information search apparatus and method
US7725475	Dec 21, 2004	May 25, 2010	Aol Inc.	Simplifying lexicon creation in hybrid duplicate detection and inductive classifier systems
US7725525	May 8, 2001	May 25, 2010	James Duncan Work	Method and apparatus for internet-based human network brokering
US7730063	Mar 31, 2005	Jun 1, 2010	Asset Trust, Inc.	Personalized medicine service
US7805536	Feb 10, 2004	Sep 28, 2010	Juniper Networks, Inc.	Determining forwarding plane liveness

Try the new Google Patents, with machine-classified Google Scholar results, and Japanese and South Korean patents.

US7822745	May 31, 2006	Oct 26, 2010	Yahoo! Inc.	Keyword set and target audience profile generalization techniques
US7831586	Dec 27, 2006	Nov 9, 2010	Ebay Inc.	System and method for application programming interfaces for keyword extraction and contextual advertisement generation
US7885987	Dec 3, 2003	Feb 8, 2011	Lee Eugene M	Computer-implemented method and system for managing attributes of intellectual property documents, optionally including organization thereof
US7958120	May 10, 2005	Jun 7, 2011	Netseer, Inc.	Method and apparatus for distributed community finding
US7984029	Jun 23, 2008	Jul 19, 2011	Aol Inc.	Reliability of duplicate document detection algorithms
US7996753	Jun 30, 2004	Aug 9, 2011	Google Inc.	Method and system for automatically creating an image advertisement
US8024372	Apr 27, 2007	Sep 20, 2011	Google Inc.	Method and apparatus for learning a probabilistic generative model for text
US8050965	Dec 14, 2007	Nov 1, 2011	Microsoft Corporation	Using a directed graph as an advertising system taxonomy
US8301617	May 2, 2011	Oct 30, 2012	Netseer, Inc.	Methods and apparatus for distributed community finding
US8370362	Sep 14, 2010	Feb 5, 2013	Alberti Anemometer Llc	Database access system
US8380721	Jan 18, 2007	Feb 19, 2013	Netseer, Inc.	System and method for context-based knowledge search, tagging, collaboration, management, and advertisement
US8412575	Jun 30, 2005	Apr 2, 2013	Google Inc.	Determining and/or managing offers such as bids for advertising
US8417695	Oct 30, 2009	Apr 9, 2013	Netseer, Inc.	Identifying related concepts of URLs and domain names
US8468118	Jul 26, 2001	Jun 18, 2013	Samsung Display Co., Ltd.	System and method for analyzing and utilizing intellectual property information
US8825654	Oct 25, 2012	Sep 2, 2014	Netseer, Inc.	Methods and apparatus for distributed community finding
US8825657	Jan 19, 2007	Sep 2, 2014	Netseer, Inc.	Systems and methods for creating, navigating, and searching informational web neighborhoods
US8838605	Oct 25, 2012	Sep 16, 2014	Netseer, Inc.	Methods and apparatus for distributed community finding
US8843434	Feb 28, 2007	Sep 23, 2014	Netseer, Inc.	Methods and apparatus for visualizing, managing, monetizing, and personalizing knowledge search results on a user interface
US20010037324	Feb 5, 2001	Nov 1, 2001	International Business Machines Corporation	Multilevel taxonomy based on features derived from training documents classification using fisher values as discrimination values
US20010049688	Mar 6, 2001	Dec 6, 2001	Raya Fratkina	System and method for providing an intelligent multi-step dialog with a user
US20020016782	Jun 1, 2001	Feb 7, 2002	Cooper David L.	Method and apparatus for fractal computation
US20020049792	Sep 4, 2001	Apr 25, 2002	David Wilcox	Conceptual content delivery system, method and computer program product
US20020080180 *	Feb 27, 2001	Jun 27, 2002	Richard Mander	Method and apparatus for organizing information in a computer system
US20020087884	Jun 8, 2001	Jul 4, 2002	Hovav Shacham	Method and apparatus for enhancing network security protection server performance
US20020091846	Oct 30, 2001	Jul 11, 2002	The Regents Of The University Of California	Tree-based ordered multicasting method
US20020143742	Mar 28, 2002	Oct 3, 2002	Kabushiki Kaisha Toshiba	Apparatus, method, and program for retrieving structured documents
US20030046307	Jun 22, 2002	Mar 6, 2003	Rivette Kevin G.	Using hyperbolic trees to visualize data generated by patent-centric and group-oriented data processing
US20030115191 *	Jan 14, 2002	Jun 19, 2003	Max Copperman	Efficient and cost-effective content provider for customer relationship management (CRM) or other applications
US20030187881 *	Feb 6, 2003	Oct 2, 2003	Fujitsu Limited	Electronic document management method and program
US20030217139	Mar 27, 2002	Nov 20, 2003	International Business Machines Corporation	Content tracking in transient communities
US20030217140	Mar 27, 2002	Nov 20, 2003	International Business Machines Corporation	Persisting node reputations in transient communities
US20030220866	Dec 30, 2002	Nov 27, 2003	Findwhat.Com	System and method for pay for performand advertising in general media
US20030227479	May 1, 2001	Dec 11, 2003	Mizrahi Aharon Ronen	Large group interactions
US20040024739	Jul 1, 2003	Feb 5, 2004	Kanisa Inc.	System and method for implementing a knowledge management system
US20040064438	Aug 22, 2003	Apr 1, 2004	Kostoff Ronald N.	Method for data and text mining and literature-based discovery
US20040080524	Dec 30, 2002	Apr 29, 2004	Chien-Fa Yeh	System and method for displaying patent analysis information

Device having a state dependant upon the state of particles

Try the new Google Patents, with machine-classified Google Scholar results, and Japanese and South Korean patents.

US20040093328	Feb 8, 2002	May 13, 2004	Aditya Damele	Methods and systems for automated semantic knowledge leveraging graph theoretic analysis and the inherent structure of communication
US20040122803	Dec 19, 2002	Jun 24, 2004	Dom Byron E.	Detect and qualify relationships between people and find the best path through the resulting social network
US20040133555	Jul 22, 2003	Jul 8, 2004	Toong Hoo-Min	Systems and methods for organizing data
US20040170328	Mar 10, 2004	Sep 2, 2004	Michael Ladwig	Image page search for arbitrary textual information
US20040267638	Jun 29, 2004	Dec 30, 2004	Giovanni Giunta	Method and system for automatically relaying website information
US20050010556	Nov 27, 2002	Jan 13, 2005	Kathleen Phelan	Method and apparatus for information retrieval
US20050021461	Jul 22, 2003	Jan 27, 2005	Flake Gary William	Term-based concept market
US20050021531	Jul 22, 2003	Jan 27, 2005	Microsoft Corporation	Community mining based on core objects and affiliated objects
US20050033742	Aug 22, 2003	Feb 10, 2005	Kamvar Sepandar D.	Methods for ranking nodes in large directed graphs
US20050055321	Jul 13, 2004	Mar 10, 2005	Kanisa Inc.	System and method for providing an intelligent multi-step dialog with a user
US20050064618	Aug 20, 2002	Mar 24, 2005	Brown Simon Anthony	Nanoscale electronic devices & fabrication methods
US20050065980	Sep 10, 2004	Mar 24, 2005	Contact Network Corporation	Relationship collaboration system
US20050086260	Oct 20, 2003	Apr 21, 2005	Telenor Asa	Backward and forward non-normalized link weight analysis method, system, and computer program product
US20050097204	Sep 23, 2004	May 5, 2005	Horowitz Russell C.	Performance-based online advertising system and method
US20050113691	Sep 7, 2004	May 26, 2005	Liebschner Michael A.K.	Noninvasive tissue assessment
US20050114198	Nov 24, 2003	May 26, 2005	Ross Koningstein	Using concepts for ad targeting
US20050114763	Nov 4, 2004	May 26, 2005	Kabushiki Kaisha Toshiba	Apparatus, method, and program for retrieving structured documents
US20050117593	Dec 1, 2003	Jun 2, 2005	Shand Ian Michael C.	Method and apparatus for synchronizing a data communications network
US20050138070	Dec 19, 2003	Jun 23, 2005	Huberman Bernardo A.	Discovering communities-of-practice
US20050144065	Dec 19, 2003	Jun 30, 2005	Palo Alto Research Center Incorporated	Keyword advertisement management with coordinated bidding among advertisers
US20050144162	Dec 28, 2004	Jun 30, 2005	Ping Liang	Advanced search, file system, and intelligent assistant agent
US20050160107	Dec 28, 2004	Jul 21, 2005	Ping Liang	Advanced search, file system, and intelligent assistant agent
US20050182755	Feb 14, 2004	Aug 18, 2005	Bao Tran	Systems and methods for analyzing documents over a network
US20050203838	Mar 15, 2004	Sep 15, 2005	Zhongfei Zhang	Method for automatic community model generation based on uni-parity data
US20050210008	Mar 18, 2004	Sep 22, 2005	Bao Tran	Systems and methods for analyzing documents over a network
US20050210027	Mar 16, 2004	Sep 22, 2005	International Business Machines Corporation	Methods and apparatus for data stream clustering for abnormality monitoring
US20050222900	Mar 30, 2004	Oct 6, 2005	Prashant Fuloria	Selectively delivering advertisements based at least in part on trademark issues
US20050256905	May 15, 2004	Nov 17, 2005	International Business Machines Corporation	System, method, and service for segmenting a topic into chatter and subtopics
US20050256949	May 14, 2004	Nov 17, 2005	International Business Machines Corporation	System, method, and service for inducing a pattern of communication among various parties
US20050283461	Jun 2, 2005	Dec 22, 2005	Jorg-Stefan Sell	Method and apparatus for managing electronic messages
US20060004703	Feb 19, 2005	Jan 5, 2006	Radar Networks, Inc.	Semantic web portal and platform
US20060041548	Jul 22, 2005	Feb 23, 2006	Jeffrey Parsons	System and method for estimating user ratings from user behavior and providing recommendations
US20060047649	Oct 31, 2005	Mar 2, 2006	Ping Liang	Internet and computer information retrieval and mining with intelligent conceptual filtering, visualization and automation
US20060080422	Jun 2, 2004	Apr 13, 2006	Bernardo Huberman	System and method for discovering communities in networks
US20060085408	Oct 13, 2005	Apr 20, 2006	Steve Morsa	Match engine marketing: system and method for influencing positions on product/service/benefit result lists generated by a computer network match engine
US20060106793	Oct 31, 2005	May 18, 2006	Ping Liang	Internet and computer information retrieval and mining with intelligent conceptual filtering, visualization and automation
US20060106847	May 3, 2005	May 18, 2006	Boston Consulting Group, Inc.	Method and apparatus for selecting, analyzing, and visualizing related database records as a network
US20060112105	Nov 22, 2004	May 25, 2006	Lada Adamic	System and method for discovering knowledge communities
US20060112111	Mar 22, 2005	May 25, 2006	Nec Laboratories America, Inc.	System and methods for data analysis and trend prediction

US20060171331	Feb 1, 2005	Aug 3, 2006	Stefano Previdi	System and methods for network path detection
US20060184464	May 12, 2005	Aug 17, 2006	Nec Laboratories America, Inc.	System and methods for data analysis and trend prediction
US20060209727	Feb 24, 2005	Sep 21, 2006	International Business Machines Corporation	Peer-to-peer instant messaging and chat system
US20060212350	Mar 3, 2006	Sep 21, 2006	Ellis John R	Enhanced online advertising system
US20060218035	Apr 21, 2004	Sep 28, 2006	Park Sang W	Method of introducing advertisements and providing the advertisements by using access intentions of internet users and a system thereof
US20060235841 *	Apr 14, 2005	Oct 19, 2006	International Business Machines Corporation	Page rank for the semantic web query
US20060242017	Mar 9, 2006	Oct 26, 2006	Medio Systems, Inc.	Method and system of bidding for advertisement placement on computing devices
US20060271564	May 10, 2005	Nov 30, 2006	Pekua, Inc.	Method and apparatus for distributed community finding
US20060294155	Jun 28, 2006	Dec 28, 2006	Patterson Anna L	Detecting spam documents in a phrase based information retrieval system
US20070025364	Aug 1, 2005	Feb 1, 2007	Kodialam Muralidharan S	Characterizing achievable flow rates in multi-hop mesh networks with orthogonal channels
US20070033103	Apr 28, 2006	Feb 8, 2007	Collins Robert J	Advertiser alerting system and method in a networked database search system
US20070038614	Aug 10, 2005	Feb 15, 2007	Guha Ramanathan V	Generating and presenting advertisements based on context data for programmable search engines
US20070061300	Jan 18, 2006	Mar 15, 2007	Jorey Ramer	Mobile advertisement syndication
US20070143329	Aug 29, 2006	Jun 21, 2007	Eric Arno Vigen	System and method for analyzing communications using multi-dimensional hierarchical structures
US20070174255	Dec 21, 2006	Jul 26, 2007	Entrieva, Inc.	Analyzing content to determine context and serving relevant content based on the context
US20070198506 *	Jan 18, 2007	Aug 23, 2007	Ilial, Inc.	System and method for context-based knowledge search, tagging, collaboration, management, and advertisement
US20070203903 *	Feb 28, 2007	Aug 30, 2007	Ilial, Inc.	Methods and apparatus for visualizing, managing, monetizing, and personalizing knowledge search results on a user interface
US20070239534	Mar 29, 2006	Oct 11, 2007	Hongche Liu	Method and apparatus for selecting advertisements to serve using user profiles, performance scores, and advertisement revenue information
US20070245035	Jan 19, 2007	Oct 18, 2007	Ilial, Inc.	Systems and methods for creating, navigating, and searching informational web neighborhoods
US20070300152	Nov 29, 2005	Dec 27, 2007	Google Inc.	Formatting a user network site based on user preferences and format performance data
US20080033932	Jun 27, 2007	Feb 7, 2008	Regents Of The University Of Minnesota	Concept-aware ranking of electronic documents within a computer network
US20080065483	Jun 29, 2007	Mar 13, 2008	Joe Ball	Inducing Renting Systems
US20080086592	Oct 4, 2006	Apr 10, 2008	Richard Stephani	ROM-Based Multiple Match Circuit
US20080104061	Oct 24, 2007	May 1, 2008	Netseer, Inc.	Methods and apparatus for matching relevant content to user intention
US20080140491	Jun 26, 2007	Jun 12, 2008	Microsoft Corporation	Advertiser backed compensation for end users
US20080232809	Jun 9, 2008	Sep 25, 2008	Beshai Maged E	Virtual Burst-Switching Networks
US20080256061	Jul 30, 2007	Oct 16, 2008	Yahoo! Inc.	System for generating query suggestions by integrating valuable query suggestions with experimental query suggestions using a network of users and advertisers
US20080301033	May 30, 2008	Dec 4, 2008	Netseer, Inc.	Method and apparatus for optimizing long term revenues in online auctions
US20090043648	Aug 8, 2007	Feb 12, 2009	Yahoo! Inc.	Pay-per-action system for selling advertisements
US20090046678	Aug 17, 2007	Feb 19, 2009	Industry-Academic Cooperation Foundation Of Kyung Hee University	Method for predicting the mobility in mobile ad hoc networks
US20090086663	Sep 27, 2007	Apr 2, 2009	Kah Kin Ho	Selecting Aggregation Nodes in a Network
US20090157855	Feb 21, 2009	Jun 18, 2009	Adam Constantin M	Decentralized application placement for web application middleware
US20090168768	Dec 26, 2007	Jul 2, 2009	Nortel Networks Limited	Tie-Breaking in Shortest Path Determination
US20090265475	Mar 31, 2006	Oct 22, 2009	Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.	Content delivery method, content delivery server, communication terminal apparatus, and content delivery system
US20090281900	May 6, 2009	Nov 12, 2009	Netseer, Inc.	Discovering Relevant Concept And Context For Content Node
US20090296719	Aug 8, 2005	Dec 3, 2009	Guido Alberto Maier	Method for Configuring an Optical Network

Try the new Google Patents, with machine-classified Google Scholar results, and Japanese and South Korean patents.

US20100114879	Oct 30, 2009	May 6, 2010	Netseer, Inc.	Identifying related concepts of urls and domain names
US20110276563	May 6, 2011	Nov 10, 2011	Michael Sandoval	Systems, methods, and computer readable media for security in profile utilizing systems
US20130046797	Oct 25, 2012	Feb 21, 2013	Netseer, Inc.	Methods and apparatus for distributed community finding
US20130046842	Oct 25, 2012	Feb 21, 2013	Netseer, Inc.	Methods and apparatus for distributed community finding
US20130198191	Jul 8, 2010	Aug 1, 2013	Rubén Lara Hernández	Method for detecting communities in massive social networks by means of an agglomerative approach
US20140040184	Aug 1, 2012	Feb 6, 2014	Anne Benissan	Automated relationship advice
US20140067535	Sep 3, 2013	Mar 6, 2014	Netseer, Inc.	Concept-level User Intent Profile Extraction and Applications
US20140351237	Aug 12, 2014	Nov 27, 2014	Netseer, Inc.	Systems and methods for creating, navigating, and searching informational web neighborhoods
CN101278257A	Apr 11, 2006	Oct 1, 2008	奈特希尔公司	Method and apparatus for distributed community finding
EP1891509A2	Apr 11, 2006	Feb 27, 2008	Alice Muntz	Method and apparatus for distributed community finding
WO2006121575A2	Apr 11, 2006	Nov 16, 2006	Alice Muntz	Method and apparatus for distributed community finding
WO2007084616A2	Jan 18, 2007	Jul 26, 2007	llial, Inc.	System and method for context-based knowledge search, tagging, collaboration, management and advertisement
WO2007084778A2	Jan 19, 2007	Jul 26, 2007	Llial, Inc.	Systems and methods for creating, navigating and searching informational web neighborhoods
WO2007100923A2	Feb 28, 2007	Sep 7, 2007	llial, Inc.	Methods and apparatus for visualizing, managing, monetizing and personalizing knowledge search results on a user interface

* Cited by examiner

NON-PATENT CITATIONS

Reference

1	Advisory Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/624,674, mailed Feb. 15, 2011.
2	After Final Response to Office Action and Request for Reconsideration in U.S. Appl. No. 11/624,674, mailed Nov. 19, 2010.
3	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/125,329, filed Dec. 22, 2009.
4	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/125,329, filed Sep. 30, 2010.
5	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/624,674, mailed Jun. 15, 2010.
6	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/624,674, mailed May 21, 2012.
7	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/624,674, mailed Oct. 21, 2011.
8	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, filed Apr. 15, 2011.
9	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, filed Aug. 12, 2013.
10	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, filed Aug. 13, 2010.
11	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, filed Jan. 3, 2014.
12	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, filed Mar. 16, 2012.
13	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, filed Mar. 2, 2010.
14	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, filed Oct. 17, 2012.
15	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, filed Sep. 18, 2009.
16	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/680,599, filed Aug. 23, 2010.
17	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/680,599, filed Aug. 3, 2011.
18	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/680,599, filed Feb. 29, 2012.
19	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/680,599, filed Jan. 3, 2014.
20	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/680,599, filed Oct. 29, 2009.
21	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/680,599, filed Oct. 30, 2012.
22	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, filed Apr. 28, 2011.
23	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, filed Apr. 5, 2012.
24	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, filed Dec. 2, 2010.
25	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, filed Feb. 27, 2013.
26	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, filed Jun. 28, 2010.
27	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, filed Nov. 6, 2013.
28	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/130,171, filed Apr. 19, 2012.
29	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/130,171, filed Aug. 1, 2011.
30	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/130,171, filed Feb. 15, 2013.
31	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/130,171, filed Jul. 25, 2014.
32	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/130,171, filed Nov. 27, 2013.
33	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/436,748, filed Mar. 16, 2012.
34	Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/476,205, filed Apr. 12, 2012.

Try the new Google Patents, with machine-classified Google Scholar results, and Japanese and South Korean patents.

Reference

- 36 Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/610,202, filed Oct. 18, 2012.
- 37 Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 13/660,940, filed Apr. 7, 2014.
- 38 Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 13/660,955, filed Apr. 7, 2014.
- 39 Applicant Initiated Interview Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, mailed Oct. 23, 2014.
- 40 Applicant Statement of the Substance of Interview (Summary of Interview with Examiner) in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, mailed Nov. 24, 2014.
- 41 Bagrow, J. P. et al., "A Local Method for Detecting Communities," *Physical Review E*, vol. 72, No. 4, 2005, 046108, pp. 1-16.
- 42 Bollobas, B., "Random Graphs," Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom, 2001, Chapter 1 and 2, pp. 1-59.
- 43 Boscolo, R., et al., "Functionality Encoded in Topology? Discovering Macroscopic Regulatory Modules from Large-Scale Protein-DNA Interaction Networks," Reprint arXiv:q-bio/0501039, Jan. 2005.
- 44 Calado, P., et al., "Combining Link-Based and Content-Based Methods for Web Document Classifications," CIKM'03, pp. 394-401, ACM, 2003.
- 45 Chao, I. et al., "Tag Mechanisms Evaluated for Coordination in Open Multi-Agent Systems," ESAW 2007, LNAI 4995, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 254-269.
- 46 Chinese Office Action (First) in Chinese Patent Application No. 200680025190.6, dated Sep. 11, 2009.
- 47 Chinese Office Action (Second) in Chinese Patent Application No. 200680025190.6, dated Jun. 3, 2010.
- 48 Chung, F. et al., "The Small World Phenomenon in Hybrid Power Law Graphs," *Lect. Notes Phys.*, vol. 650, 2004, pp. 89-104.
- 49 Clauset, A. et al., "Finding Community Structure in Very Large Networks," *Physical Review*, vol. 70, No. 6, Dec. 2004, 066111.
- 50 Erdos, P. et al., "On the Evolution of Random Graphs," *Publication of the Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences*, vol. 5, 1960, pp. 343-347.
- 51 Erdos, P. et al., "On the Strength of Connectedness of a Random Graph," *Acta Mathematica Scientia Hungarica*, vol. 12, Nos. 1-2, 1961, pp. 261-267.
- 52 Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, mailed Oct. 3, 2013.
- 53 Flake, G. W. et al., "Self-Organization and Identification of Web Communities," *IEEE Computer Society*, vol. 35, Issue 3, Mar. 2002, pp. 66-71.
- 54 Franceschetti et al., "Closing the Gap in the Capacity of Wireless Networks Via Percolation Theory," Oct. 26, 2004, *IEEE*, pp. 1-10.
- 55 Franceschetti, M. et al., "Navigation in Small World Networks, a Scale-Free Continuum Model," Jul. 2003, pp. 1-9.
- 56 Garton, L., et al., "Studying On-Line Social Networks," *Doing Internet Research*, Edited by S. Jones, Thousand Oaks, Calif., 1999, pp. 75-105.
- 57 Girvan, M. et al., "Community Structure in Social and Biological Networks," *PNAS*, vol. 99, No. 12, Jun. 2002, pp. 7821-7826.
- 58 Golbeck, "Accuracy of Metrics for Inferring Trust and Reputation in Semantic Web-based Social Networks," Apr. 2004, pp. 1-11.
- 59 Gonzalez-Barahona, J. M. et al., "Community Structure of Modules in the Apache Project," MSR '05: Proceedings of the 2005 International Workshop on Mining Software Repositories, 2005.
- 60 International Search Report and Written Opinion for PCT Application No. PCT/US2006/14160, mailed Sep. 4, 2007.
- 61 International Search Report and Written Opinion for PCT Application No. PCT/US2007/001335, mailed Feb. 12, 2008.
- 62 International Search Report and Written Opinion for PCT Application No. PCT/US2007/001694, mailed Feb. 14, 2008.
- 63 International Search Report and Written Opinion for PCT Application No. PCT/US2007/005504, mailed Jul. 29, 2008.
- 64 Japanese Office Action in Application No. 2008-511129 dated Jul. 26, 2011.
- 65 Jiang, et al., "Monotone Percolation and the Topology Control of Wireless Networks", California Institute of Technology, Electrical Engineering Dept, 0-7803-8968-9/05, 2005, pp. 327-338.
- 66 Kernighan, B. W. et al., "An Efficient Heuristic Procedure for Partitioning Graphs," *The Bell System Technical Journal* 49, Feb. 1970, pp. 291-307.
- 67 Kini et al., "Fast and efficient randomized flooding on lattice sensor networks", Nov. 19, 2004, Drexler University, pp. 1-33.
- 68 Kong et al., "Collaborative Spam Filtering Using E-Mail Networks", Aug. 2006, *IEEE*, pp. 67-73.
- 69 Lyons, R. et al., "Probability on Trees and Networks," Apr. 17, 2005, pp. 1-53.
- 70 Manku, G. S. et al., "Know thy Neighbor: the Power of Lookahead in Randomized P2P Networks," STOC'04, Jun. 2004, pp. 1-10.
- 71 Newman, et al., "Scaling and percolation in the small-world network model", Sante Fe Institute, May 6, 1999, pp. 1-12.
- 72 Newman, M. E. J., "Coauthorship Networks and Patterns of Scientific Collaboration," *PNAS*, vol. 101, Apr. 2004, pp. 5200-5205.
- 73 Newman, M. E. J., "Fast Algorithm for Detecting Community Structure in Networks," *Physical Review E* 69, Jun. 2004, pp. 066133.1-066133.5.
- 74 Newman, M.E.J. et al. "Finding and Evaluating Community Structure in Networks," *Physical Review E*, vol. 69, 026113, 2004, pp. 1-16.
- 75 Newman, M.E.J., "Random Graphs as Models of Networks", SFI Working Paper: 2002-02-005, 2002, pp. 1-36.
- 76 Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 11/125,329, mailed Feb. 2, 2011.
- 77 Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 11/624,674, mailed Oct. 12, 2012.
- 78 Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, mailed Apr. 16, 2014.
- 79 Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 11/680,599, mailed Apr. 30, 2014.
- 80 Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 12/610,202, mailed Dec. 10, 2012.
- 81 Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 13/098,870, mailed Jun. 28, 2012.
- 82 Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 13/660,940, mailed Jun. 13, 2014.
- 83 Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 13/660,955, mailed Jun. 16, 2014.
- 84 Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/125,329, mailed Jun. 24, 2009.
- 85 Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/125,329, mailed Mar. 30, 2010.
- 86 Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/624,674, mailed Dec. 20, 2011.

Try the new Google Patents, with machine-classified Google Scholar results, and Japanese and South Korean patents.

Reference

88	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/624,674, mailed Sep. 21, 2010.
89	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, mailed Apr. 17, 2012.
90	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, mailed Dec. 8, 2009.
91	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, mailed Feb. 12, 2013.
92	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, mailed Mar. 18, 2009.
93	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, mailed May 13, 2010.
94	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, mailed Oct. 25, 2010.
95	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, mailed Sep. 16, 2011.
96	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/680,599, mailed Apr. 29, 2009.
97	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/680,599, mailed Apr. 30, 2012.
98	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/680,599, mailed Aug. 29, 2011.
99	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/680,599, mailed Jan. 5, 2011.
100	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/680,599, mailed Jul. 3, 2013.
101	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/680,599, mailed May 21, 2010.
102	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, mailed Aug. 14, 2014.
103	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, mailed Aug. 2, 2010.
104	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, mailed Aug. 27, 2012.
105	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, mailed Dec. 22, 2010.
106	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, mailed Feb. 26, 2010.
107	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, mailed Jun. 3, 2011.
108	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, mailed Jun. 6, 2013.
109	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/923,546, mailed Jun. 9, 2015.
110	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/130,171, mailed Apr. 1, 2011.
111	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/130,171, mailed Aug. 15, 2012.
112	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/130,171, mailed Mar. 26, 2014.
113	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/130,171, mailed May 28, 2013.
114	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/130,171, mailed May 30, 2015.
115	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/130,171, mailed Oct. 19, 2011.
116	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/130,171, mailed Sep. 25, 2014.
117	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/436,748, mailed May 2, 2012.
118	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/436,748, mailed Sep. 16, 2011.
119	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/476,205, mailed Apr. 16, 2015.
120	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/476,205, mailed Jul. 3, 2012.
121	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/476,205, mailed Oct. 12, 2011.
122	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/610,202, mailed May 22, 2012.
123	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 13/660,940, mailed Jan. 6, 2014.
124	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 13/660,955, mailed Jan. 8, 2014.
125	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 14/017,123, mailed Apr. 17, 2015.
126	Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 14/017,123, mailed Oct. 23, 2014.
127	Owczarek, A. L. et al., " Generalised Percolation Probabilities for the Self-Dual Potts Model ," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 20, Apr. 1987, pp. 5263-5271.
128	Page, L. et al., " The PageRank Citation Ranking: Bringing Order to the Web ," Technical Report, Stanford InfoLab, 1999.
129	Patch, K., " Simple Search Lightens Net load ," TRN, Sep. 2004, pp. 1-3.
130	Pons, P. et al., " Computing Communities in Large Networks Using Random Walks ," ArXiv Condensed Matter e-prints, Dec. 2004.
131	Pothen, A. et al., " Partitioning Sparse Matrices with Eigenvectors of Graphs ," Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematics, SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, vol. 11, No. 3, Jul. 1990, pp. 430-452.
132	Preliminary Amendment in U.S. Appl. No. 11/625,279, filed May 31, 2007.
133	Preliminary Amendment in U.S. Appl. No. 13/098,870, filed Dec. 19, 2011.
134	Proposed Amendment and Response to Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 11/624,674, mailed May 16, 2012.
135	Radicchi, F. et al., " Defining and Identifying Communities in Networks ," PNAS, vol. 101, No. 9, Mar. 2004, pp. 2658-2663.
136	Response to Restriction Requirement and Amendment in U.S. Appl. No. 11/624,674, mailed Sep. 29, 2009.
137	Rives, A. W. et al., " Modular Organization of Cellular Networks ," PNAS, vol. 100, No. 3, Feb. 2003, pp. 1128-1133.
138	Sarshar, N. et al., " Scalable Percolation Search in Power Law Networks ," Jun. 2004, Department of Electrical Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, pp. 1-13.
139	Scott, J. " Social Network Analysis: A Handbook ," 2nd Edition, Sage Publications, London, 2000, Chapter 4, pp. 63-81.
140	Silverberg, et al., " A Percolation Model of Innovation in Complex Technology Spaces ", Sep. 2002, MERIT-Infonomics Research Memorandum Series, pp. 1-24.

Try the new Google Patents, with machine-classified Google Scholar results, and Japanese and South Korean patents.

Reference

142	U.S. Appl. No. 14/457,693, filed Aug. 12, 2014.
143	Wang, G., " Web Search with Personalization and Knowledge ", 2002 Proceedings of the IEEE Fourth International Symposium on Multimedia Software Engineering (MSE '02).
144	Wasserman, S., " Social Network Analysis: Methods and Applications ," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1994, pp. 17-20 and Chapter 7, pp. 249-290.
145	Weikum, G. et al., " Towards Self-Organizing Query Routing and Processing for Peer-to-Peer Web Search ," DELIS-TR-0287, 2005, 19 pages.
146	Wellman, B. et al., " Computer Networks as Social Networks: Collaborative Work, Telework, and Virtual Community ," Annual Reviews Sociology, vol. 22, No. 1, 1996, pp. 213-238.
147	Wu, F. et al., " Finding Communities in Linear Time: a Physics Approach ," The European Physical Journal B, vol. 38, No. 2, 2004, pp. 331-338.
148	Zachary, W. W. " An Information Flow Model for Conflict and Fission in Small Groups ," Journal of Anthropological Research, vol. 33, No. 4, Winter, 1977, pp. 452-473.
149	Zou et al., " Email Virus Propagation Modeling and Analysis ", Univ. of Mass., Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Dept of Computer Science, 2004, TR-CSE-03-04, pp. 1-17.

REFERENCED BY

Citing Patent	Filing date	Publication date	Applicant	Title
US20150066482 *	Oct 9, 2014	Mar 5, 2015	Gil Fuchs	Sytem and method for use of semantic understanding in storage, searching, and providing of data or other content information

* Cited by examiner

CLASSIFICATIONS

International Classification	G06F17/30
Cooperative Classification	G06F17/3089 , G06F17/30734

LEGAL EVENTS

Date	Code	Event	Description
Jan 20, 2011	AS	Assignment	Owner name: NETSEER, INC., CALIFORNIA Free format text: ASSIGNMENT OF ASSIGNORS INTEREST;ASSIGNORS:BOSCOLO, RICCARDO;REZAEI, BEHNAM ATTARAN;ROYCHOWDHURY, VWANI P.;AND OTHERS;REEL/FRAME:025672/0278 Effective date: 20110113
May 30, 2014	AS	Assignment	Owner name: SILICON VALLEY BANK, CALIFORNIA Free format text: SECURITY INTEREST;ASSIGNOR:NETSEER, INC.;REEL/FRAME:033070/0296 Effective date: 20140528
Sep 13, 2016	CC	Certificate of correction	
Feb 22, 2017	AS	Assignment	Owner name: NETSEER ACQUISITION, INC., CALIFORNIA Free format text: ASSIGNMENT OF ASSIGNORS INTEREST;ASSIGNOR:NETSEER, INC.;REEL/FRAME:041342/0430 Effective date: 20170206
Mar 28, 2017	AS	Assignment	Owner name: WESTERN ALLIANCE BANK, CALIFORNIA Free format text: SECURITY INTEREST;ASSIGNOR:NETSEER, INC.;REEL/FRAME:042105/0302 Effective date: 20170327
Apr 4, 2017	AS	Assignment	Owner name: NETSEER, INC., ARKANSAS Free format text: RELEASE BY SECURED PARTY;ASSIGNOR:SILICON VALLEY BANK;REEL/FRAME:041850/0435 Effective date: 20170327
Jun 14, 2017	AS	Assignment	Owner name: NETSEER, INC., CALIFORNIA Free format text: CHANGE OF NAME;ASSIGNOR:NETSEER ACQUISITION, INC.;REEL/FRAME:042808/0301 Effective date: 20170301

[Google Home](#) - [Sitemap](#) - [USPTO Bulk Downloads](#) - [Privacy Policy](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [About Google Patents](#) - [Send Feedback](#)

Data provided by IFI CLAIMS Patent Services